arXiv:1601.06270v1 [math.PR] 23 Jan 2016

LARGE DEVIATION PRINCIPLE OF OCCUPATION MEASURES FOR NON-LINEAR MONOTONE SPDES

RAN WANG, JIE XIONG, AND LIHU XU

Abstract

Using the hyper-exponential recurrence criterion, a large deviation principle for the occupation measure is derived for a class of non-linear monotone stochastic partial differential equations. The main results are applied to many concrete SPDEs such as stochastic p-Laplace equation, stochastic porous medium equation, stochastic fast-diffusion equation, and even stochastic real Ginzburg-Landau equation driven by α-stable noises.

Keywords: Stochastic partial differential equations; Large deviation principle; Occupation measure; Strong Feller; Irreducibility; Hyper-exponential recurrence.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 60H15, 60F10, 60J75.

1. Introduction

The large time asymptotics for stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) have been studied in abundant literatures, see [1, 2, 6, 13, 17, 25, 28, 30, 39] for ergodicity and [9, 10] for random attractor. An SPDE is ergodic means that the occupation measures of its solution converge to a unique invariant measure. It is natural to further ask whether the occupation measures satisfy a Donsker-Varadhan's large deviation principle [5, 3, 8], which gives an estimate on the probability that the occupation measures are deviated from the invariant measure.

Although Freidlin-Wentzell's small noise large deviation principles have been intensively studied for stochastic (partial) differential equations in recent years, see e.g. [3, 8, 22, 45], there seem only very few papers on the large deviations of Donsker-Varadhan type for large time, see Gourcy's works [14, 15] for stochastic Burgers and Navier-Stokes equations and Jakšič et al. [19, 20] for some dissipative SPDEs.

Wu [43] gave a criterion of large deviation principle of occupation measures for strong Feller and irreducible Markov processes, in which one needs to check hyper-exponential recurrence. Many techniques such as Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula [7] and Wang type Harnack inequality [37, 38] have been developed for studying strong Feller property and irreducibility. However, hyper-exponential recurrence is a very strong condition and hard to be verified for stochastic PDEs.

This paper is devoted to studying LDP of the occupation measure for a family of monotone stochastic (partial) differential equations with some strong coercivity, which include some non-Lipschitz stochastic differential equations, stochastic porous media equations, stochastic p-Laplace equation see e.g. [23, 35, 39, 48] and so on. Our

approach is via the hyper-exponential recurrence criterion by Wu. On the one hand, thanks to the monotonicity, strong Feller property and irreducibility are established by coupling techniques [38] and Wang type Harnack inequality. On the other hand, the strong coercivity ($r > 1$ in (H3) below) paves a way for us to proving hyper-recurrence condition.

For real Ginzburg-Landau equation driven by α-stable noise, the Freidlin-Wentzell's small noise large deviation does not hold since α-stable noise does not have second moment. However, as the time tends to infinity, the strong coercive nonlinearity $N(x) = x - x^3$ wins the heavy tail effect to produce hyper-exponential recurrence and thus large deviation principle.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the models and main theorems. In Section 3, the main theorems are applied to some concrete examples of stochastic (partial) differential equations. In Section 4, we recall the hyper-exponential criterion about the LDP for Markov processes with strong Feller property and irreducibility. The proofs of the main theorems are given in Section 5.

2. The models and results

Let $(H, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H)$ be a separable Hilbert space and let $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$ be a Banach space such that $V \subset H$ continuously and densely. Let V^* be the dual space of V, it is well known

$$V \subset H \subset V^*$$

continuously and densely. If ${}_{V^*}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_V$ denotes the dualization between V^* and V, it follows that

$${}_{V^*}\langle z, v\rangle_V = \langle z, v\rangle_H \quad \text{for all } z \in H, v \in V.$$

(V, H, V^*) is called a *Gelfand triple*. In this paper, we always assume that V is *compactly embedded* in H. Thus, there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\|x\|_V \geq \eta\|x\|_H \quad \text{for all } x \in V. \tag{2.1}$$

Consider the following stochastic differential equation on H

$$\mathrm{d}X(t) = A(X(t))\mathrm{d}t + B(X(t))\mathrm{d}W_t \tag{2.2}$$

where $\{W_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a cylindrical Q-Wiener process with $Q := I$ on another separable Hilbert space $(U, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_U)$ and being defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with normal filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, B is in Hilbert-Schmidt space $\mathcal{L}_2(U, H)$. *We always assume that the measurable functions $A : V \to V^*$, $B : V \to \mathcal{L}_2(U, H)$ satisfy some conditions such that the equation* (2.2) *has a unique continuous solution in some sense.* For example, assume that

(H1) (Hemicontinuity) For all $v_1, v_2, v \in V, \mathbb{R} \ni s \mapsto {}_{V^*}\langle A(v_1 + sv_2), v\rangle_V$ is continuous.

(H2) (Weak monotonicity) There exists $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$,

$$2{}_{V^*}\langle A(v_1) - A(v_2), v_1 - v_2\rangle_V + \|B(v_1) - B(v_2)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)} \leq c_0\|v_1 - v_2\|^2_H.$$

(H3) (Coercivity) There exist $r > 0$ and $c_1, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}, c_2 > 0$ such that for all $v \in V$,

$$2{}_{V^*}\langle A(v), v\rangle_V + \|B(v)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)} \leq c_1 - c_2\|v\|^{r+1}_V + c_3\|v\|^2_H.$$

(H4) (Boundedness) There exist $c_4 > 0$ and $c_5 > 0$ such that for all $v \in V$,

$$\|A(v)\|_{V^*} \leq c_4 + c_5\|v\|_V^r,$$

where r is as in (H3).

Definition 2.1. A continuous H-valued $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted process $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is called a solution of (2.2), if

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \left(\|X(s)\|_V^{r+1} + \|X(s)\|_H^2\right) \mathrm{d}s\right] < \infty, \quad \forall t > 0, \tag{2.3}$$

and $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t A(X(s))\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t B(X(s))\mathrm{d}W(s), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

According to [21, 31], under Conditions (H1)-(H4), for any $X_0 \in L^2(\Omega \to H; \mathcal{F}_0; \mathbb{P})$, (2.2) admits a unique solution $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$. Moreover, we have the following Itô formula

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_t\|_H^2 =& \|X_0\|_H^2 + \int_0^t \left(2_{V^*}\langle A(X_s), X_s\rangle_V + \|B(X_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)}^2\right) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ 2\int_0^t \langle X(s), B(X(s))\mathrm{d}W(s)\rangle_H.\end{aligned}$$

Let X_t^x be the solution of (2.2) starting from x. Consider the associated transition semigroup

$$P_tF(x) := \mathbb{E}[F(X_t^x)], \quad F \in \mathcal{B}_b(H),\ x \in H,\ t > 0,$$

where $\mathcal{B}_b(H)$ is the class of all bounded Borel measurable functions on H. Throughout this paper, we always assume that the Markov semigroup P_t is strong Feller and irreducible in H, that is

(a) $P_t(\mathcal{B}_b(H)) \subset C_b(H)$ for all $t > 0$ (*Strong Feller Property*),
(b) $P_t1_U(x) > 0$ for each $t > 0, x \in H$ and any nonempty open set $U \subset H$ (*Irreducibility*).

There have been abundant literatures on the study of strong Feller and irreducibility for SPDEs, see e.g. [2], [29], [38] and so on.

Let $\mathcal{L}_t$ be the occupation measure of the system (2.2) given by

$$\mathcal{L}_t(A) := \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \delta_{X_s}(A)\mathrm{d}s \qquad \text{for any measurable set } A, \tag{2.4}$$

where δ_a is the Dirac measure at a. Then $\mathcal{L}_t$ is in $\mathcal{M}_1(H)$, the space of probability measures on H. On $\mathcal{M}_1(H)$, let $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_1(H), \mathcal{B}_b(H))$ be the τ-topology of converence against measurable and bounded functions which is much stronger than the usual weak convergence topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_1(H), C_b(H))$, where $C_b(H)$ is the space of all bounded continuous functions on H. See [5] or [3, Section 6.2].

Now, we are at the position to state our main results.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that (H3) holds with $r > 1$ and P_t is strong Feller and irreducible in H. Then the family $\mathbb{P}^\nu(\mathcal{L}_T \in \cdot)$ as $T \to +\infty$ satisfies the large deviation principle on $(\mathcal{M}_1(H), \tau)$, with speed T and rate function J defined by (4.1) below, uniformly for any initial measure ν in $\mathcal{M}_1(H)$.*

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that (H3) holds with $r \in (0, 1]$ and $c_3 < 0$, P_t is strong Feller and irreducible in H, and $C_B := \sup_{u\in H} \|B(u)\|^2_{L^2(U,H)} < \infty$. Let $\lambda_0 \in (0, -\frac{c_3}{2C_B})$ and*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_0,L} := \left\{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(H) : \int_H e^{\lambda_0\|x\|_H^2}\nu(\mathrm{d}x) \le L\right\}.$$

Then the family $\mathbb{P}^\nu(\mathcal{L}_T \in \cdot)$ as $T \to +\infty$ satisfies the large deviation principle on $(\mathcal{M}_1(H), \tau)$, with speed T and rate function J defined by (4.1) below, uniformly for any initial measure ν in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_0,L}$.

Remark 2.4. For every $f : H \to \mathbb{R}$ measurable and bounded, as $\nu \to \int_H f\mathrm{d}\nu$ is continuous with respect to (w.r.t.) the τ-topology, then by our main results and the contraction principle ([3, Theorem 4.2.1]),

$$\mathbb{P}^\nu\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T f(X_s)\mathrm{d}s \in \cdot\right)$$

satisfies the LDP on $\mathbb{R}$, with the rate function given by

$$J^f(r) = \inf\left\{J(\nu) < +\infty | \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(H) \text{ and } \int f\mathrm{d}\nu = r\right\}, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

3. Examples

In this section, we apply Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 to some concrete examples of stochastic (partial) differential equations.

3.1. **Non-Lipschitz stochastic differential equations** [48, 35]**.** Consider the following stochastic differential equation

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{3.1}$$

where $b : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ are continuous functions and $\{W_t\}_{t\ge0}$ is a d-dimensional standard Brownian motion defined on some complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\ge0}, \mathbb{P})$.

Let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denote the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $|\cdot|$ the norm in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $\|\cdot\|_2$ the Hilbert-Schmit norm from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$. Assume that the continuous coefficients b and σ satisfy the following conditions:

(**A1**) (Monotonicity) There exists a $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$2\langle x - y, b(x) - b(y)\rangle + \|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)\|_2^2 \le \lambda_0|x - y|^2(1 \vee \log|x - y|^{-1}).$$

(**A2**) (Growth of σ) There exists a $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\|\sigma(x)\|_2 \le \lambda_1(1 + |x|).$$

(**A3**) (Non-degeneracy of σ) For some $\lambda_2 > 0$,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\sigma^{-1}(x)\|_2 \le \lambda_2.$$

(**A4**) (One-side growth of b) There exist $p > 2$ and constants $\lambda_3 > 0, \lambda_4 \geq 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$2\langle x, b(x)\rangle + \|\sigma(x)\|_2^2 \leq -\lambda_3|x|^p + \lambda_4.$$

According to Zhang [48], under (**A1**)-(**A4**), the equation (3.1) has a unique continuous strong solution X_t, whose semigroup P_t is strong Feller and irreducible.

Take $V = H = \mathbb{R}^d$. Then (H3) automatically holds in Theorem 2.2 with $r > 1$. Hence, the assertion in Theorem 2.2 holds for the solution to (3.1).

Remark 3.1. Ren et al. [35] established the strong Feller property and irreducibility for the non-Lipschitz multivalued stochastic differential equation under the similar conditions. Thus, the assertion in Theorem 2.2 also holds for the non-Lipschitz multivalued stochastic differential equation studied in [35].

3.2. **Stochastic p-Laplace equation** [31, 24]**.** Let Λ be an open bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with smooth boundary. Consider the following Gelfand triple

$$H_0^{1,p}(\Lambda) \cap L^q(\Lambda) \subset L^2(\Lambda) \subset (H_0^{1,p}(\Lambda) \cap L^q(\Lambda))^*$$

and the stochastic p-Laplace equation

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \left[\mathbf{div}(|\nabla X_t|^{p-2}\nabla X_t) - \gamma|X_t|^{q-2}X_t\right]\mathrm{d}t + B\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad X_0 = x, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\max\{1, 2d/(d+2)\} < p \leq 2 < q$ and $\gamma > 0$, B is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on $L^2(\Lambda)$ and W_t is a cylindrical Wiener process on $L^2(\Lambda)$ w.r.t. a complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.

On the Sobolev space $H_0^{1,p}(\Lambda)$, consider the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p} := \left(\int_\Lambda |\nabla u(\xi)|^p \mathrm{d}\xi\right)^{1/p}.$$

Since Λ is bounded, by Poincaré inequality we know that $\|\cdot\|_{1,p}$ is equivalent to the classical Sobolev norm in $H_0^{1,p}(\Lambda)$. We denote the norm in $L^q(\Lambda)$ by $\|\cdot\|_q$ and the inner product in $L^2(\Lambda)$ by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)}$. According to the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, the embedding $H_0^{1,p}(\Lambda) \subset L^2(\Lambda)$ is compact.

Take $V := H_0^{1,p}(\Lambda) \cap L^q(\Lambda), H := L^2(\Lambda)$. According to [31, Example 4.1.9], Conditions (H1)-(H4) hold for (3.2) with $r > 1$.

Assume that B is non-degenerate, that is, $Bx = 0$ implies that $x = 0$. We define the following intrinsic metric for $x \in H_0^{1,p}(\Lambda)$,

$$\|x\|_B := \begin{cases} \|y\|_2 & \text{if } \ y \in L^2(\Lambda), By = x; \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If there exist constants $\sigma \geq \frac{4}{p}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|x\|_{1,p}^2 \cdot \|x\|_2^{\sigma-2} \geq \delta\|x\|_B^\sigma, \qquad \forall x \in H_0^{1,p}(\Lambda), \tag{3.3}$$

then the associated Markov semigroup P_t is strong Feller and irreducible on $L^2(\Lambda)$ by [24, Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3]. Hence, the assertion in Theorem 2.2 holds for the solution to (3.2).

Remark 3.2. According to [23, Example 3.3], when $p > 2$ and $q \in [1, p]$ in (3.2), P_t is strong Feller, and furthermore if $d = 1$ and $B := (-\Delta)^{-\theta}$ with $\theta \in (1/4, 1/2]$, then P_t is irreducible. Hence, the assertion in Theorem 2.2 holds for the solution to (3.2).

3.3. **Stochastic generalized porous media equations** [1, 34]**.** Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary, μ be the normalized Lebesgue measure on D. Let Δ be the Dirichlet Laplace on D and $L := -(-\Delta)^\gamma$ for $\gamma > 0$. For $r > 1$, consider the Gelfand triple

$$L^{r+1}(D, \mu) \subset H^\gamma(D, \mu) \subset (L^{r+1}(D, \mu))^*,$$

where $H^\gamma(\mu)$ is the completion of $L^2(\mu)$ under the norm

$$|x| := \left(\int_D | - (\Delta)^{-\gamma/2} x|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right)^{1/2}, \quad x \in L^2(D, \mu),$$

and $(L^{r+1}(D, \mu))^*$ is the dual space of $L^{r+1}(D, \mu)$ w.r.t. $H^\gamma(D, \mu)$. Let $V := L^{r+1}(D, \mu)$ and $H := H^\gamma(D, \mu)$. Notice that $L^{r+1}(D, \mu)$ is compactly embedded in $H^\gamma(D, \mu)$.

Let

$$0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \cdots \lambda_n \to +\infty$$

be the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ including multiplicities with unite eigenfunctions $\{e_j\}_{j\ge1}$. For $r > 1, c \ge 0, q > 1/2$,

$$\Psi(s) := s|s|^{r-1}, \ \Phi(s) := cs, \ B(x)e_j := b_i(x)j^{-q}e_j, \ j \ge 1, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\{b_j\}_{j\ge1}$ satisfies that

$$\begin{cases} |b_i(u) - b_i(v)| \le b|u - v|, \quad u, v \in H^\gamma(D, \mu), \\ \inf_{u\in H^\gamma(D,\mu)} \inf_{i\ge1} b_i(u) > 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Consider the equation

$$\mathrm{d}X(t) = (L\Psi(X(t)) + \Phi(X(t)))\,\mathrm{d}t + B(X(t))\mathrm{d}W(t), \tag{3.6}$$

where W is a cylindrical Brownian motion on $H^\gamma(D, \mu)$ w.r.t. a complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\ge0}, \mathbb{P})$. According to [31, Example 4.1.11], Conditions (H1)-(H4) hold for system (3.6) and the constant $r > 1$ in (H3). If (3.5) holds and $\gamma \ge dp$, the Markov semigroup P_t is strong Feller by [40, Example 6.1] or [47, Example 3.3], and P_t is irreducible by [47, Example 3.3]. Hence, the assertion in Theorem 2.2 holds for the solution to (3.6).

3.4. **Stochastic fast-diffusion equations.** As references for this equation, we refer e.g. to [34, 26].

Let $D = (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and let $\mu, H^\gamma, L, \Psi, \Phi, B$ be as in section 3.3 with $1/3 < r < 1$, $\gamma = 1$, $c < 0$ and q to be determined later. Let $V := L^{r+1}(D, \mu) \bigcap H^1(D, \mu)$ with

$$\|v\|_V = |v|_{L^{r+1}} + |v|, \quad v \in V.$$

We consider the equation (3.6) under the triple

$$V \subset H^1(D, \mu) \subset V^*.$$

According to [34, Theorem 3.9], (H1)-(H4) hold with $1/3 < r < 1$ and $c_3 < 0$. Furthermore, for all $\theta \in \left(\frac{4}{r+1}, \frac{6r+2}{r+1}\right)$ and $q \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3r+1}{\theta(r+1)}\right)$, the Markov semigroup P_t is strong Feller and irreducible by [47, Example 3.4]. Hence, the assertion in Theorem 2.3 holds for stochastic fast-diffusion equations.

3.5. **Stochastic real Ginzburg-Landau equation driven by α-stable noises** [44]**.** Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be equipped with the usual Riemannian metric, and let $\mathrm{d}\xi$ denote the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$. For any $p \geq 1$, let

$$L^p(\mathbb{T};\mathbb{R}) := \left\{ x : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}; \|x\|_{L^p} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |x(\xi)|^p \mathrm{d}\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Denote

$$H := \left\{ x \in L^2(\mathbb{T};\mathbb{R}); \int_{\mathbb{T}} x(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi = 0 \right\},$$

it is a separable real Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle_H := \int_{\mathbb{T}} x(\xi) y(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi, \qquad \forall\ x, y \in H.$$

For any $x \in H$, let

$$\|x\|_H := \|x\|_{L^2} = \left(\langle x, x \rangle_H\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}_* := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. It is well known that $\left\{ e_k; e_k = e^{i2\pi k\xi},\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_* \right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of H. For each $x \in H$, it can be represented by Fourier series

$$x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*} x_k e_k \quad \text{ with } \quad x_k \in \mathbb{C},\ x_{-k} = \overline{x_k}.$$

Let Δ be the Laplace operator on H. It is well known that $D(\Delta) = H^{2,2}(\mathbb{T}) \cap H$. In our setting, Δ can be determined by the following relations: for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_*$,

$$\Delta e_k = -\gamma_k e_k \quad \text{ with } \ \gamma_k = 4\pi^2 |k|^2,$$

with

$$H^{2,2}(\mathbb{T}) \cap H = \left\{ x \in H;\ x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*} x_k e_k,\ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*} |\gamma_k|^2 |x_k|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Denote

$$A = -\Delta, \quad D(A) = H^{2,2}(\mathbb{T}) \cap H.$$

Define the operator A^σ with $\sigma \geq 0$ by

$$A^\sigma x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*} \gamma_k^\sigma x_k e_k, \qquad x \in D(A^\sigma),$$

where $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*}$ are the Fourier coefficients of x, and

$$D(A^\sigma) := \left\{ x \in H :\ x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*} x_k e_k, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*} |\gamma_k|^{2\sigma} |x_k|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Given $x \in D(A^\sigma)$, its norm is

$$\|A^\sigma x\|_H := \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_*} |\gamma_k|^{2\sigma}|x_k|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

For $\sigma > 0$, let

$$H_\sigma := D(A^\sigma), \qquad \|x\|_{H_\sigma} := \|A^\sigma x\|_H.$$

Then, H_σ is densely and compactly embedded in H. Particularly, let

$$V := D(A^{1/2}).$$

We shall study 1D stochastic Ginzburg-Landau equation on $\mathbb{T}$ as the following

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t + AX_t\mathrm{d}t = N(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}L_t, \\ X_0 = x, \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

where

(i) the nonlinear term N is defined by

$$N(u) = u - u^3, \qquad u \in H.$$

(ii) $L_t = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_*} \beta_k l_k(t) e_k$ is an α-stable process on H with $\{l_k(t)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_*}$ being i.i.d. 1-dimensional symmetric α-stable process sequence with $\alpha > 1$. Moreover, we assume that there exist some $C_1, C_2 > 0$ so that $C_1\gamma_k^{-\beta} \le |\beta_k| \le C_2\gamma_k^{-\beta}$ with $\beta > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\alpha}$.

Definition 3.3. We say that a predictable H-valued stochastic process $X = (X_t^x)$ is a mild solution to Eq. (3.7) if, for any $t \ge 0, x \in H$, it holds ($\mathbb{P}$-a.s.):

$$X_t^x(\omega) = e^{-At}x + \int_0^t e^{-A(t-s)}N(X_s^x(\omega))\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t e^{-A(t-s)}\mathrm{d}L_s(\omega). \tag{3.8}$$

The following properties for the solutions can be found in [44, 41].

Proposition 3.4 ([44, 41])**.** *Assume that $\alpha \in (3/2, 2)$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} < \beta < \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha}$, the following statements hold:*

(1) *For every $x \in H$ and $\omega \in \Omega$ a.s., Eq. (3.7) admits a unique mild solution $X = (X_t^x)_{t\ge0, x\in H} \in D([0,\infty); H) \cap D((0,\infty); V)$.*
(2) *X is a Markov process, which is strong Feller and irreducible in H, and X admits a unique invariant measure π.*

Moreover, we prove that the system converges to its invariant measure μ with exponential rate under a topology stronger than the total variation, and the occupation measure $\mathcal{L}_t$ obeys the moderate deviation principle by constructing some Lyapunov test functions in our previous paper [41].

We shall establish in this paper the large deviation principle for the occupation measure $\mathcal{L}_t$ in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that $\alpha \in (3/2, 2)$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} < \beta < \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Then the family $\mathbb{P}^\nu(\mathcal{L}_T \in \cdot)$ as $T \to +\infty$ satisfies the large deviation principle with respect to the τ-topology, with a good rate function, uniformly for any initial measure ν in $\mathcal{M}_1(H)$.*

4. General results about large deviations

In this section, we recall some general results on the Large Deviation Principle for strong Feller and irreducible Markov processes from [42, 43].

Let E be a Polish metric space. Consider a general E-valued càdlàg Markov process

$$(\Omega, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \mathcal{F}, \{X_t(\omega)\}_{t\geq 0}, \{\mathbb{P}_x\}_{x\in E}),$$

where

- $\Omega = D([0,+\infty); E)$, which is the space of the càdlàg functions from $[0,+\infty)$ to E equipped with the Skorokhod topology; for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$;
- $\mathcal{F}_t^0 = \sigma\{X_s : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ for any $t \geq 0$ (nature filtration);
- $\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ and $\mathbb{P}^x(X_0 = x) = 1$.

Hence, $\mathbb{P}^x$ is the law of the Markov process with initial state $x \in E$. For any initial measure ν on E, let $\mathbb{P}^\nu(\mathrm{d}\omega) := \int_E \mathbb{P}^x(\mathrm{d}\omega)\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$. Its transition probability is denoted by $\{P_t(x, dy)\}_{t\geq 0}$.

For all $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$, define

$$P_t f(x) := \int_E P_t(x, \mathrm{d}y) f(y) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, x \in E.$$

For any $t > 0$, P_t is said to be *strong Feller* if $P_t\varphi \in C_b(E)$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$; P_t is *irreducible* in E if $P_t 1_O(x) > 0$ for any $x \in E$ and any non-empty open subset O of E. $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is *accessible* to $x \in E$, if the resolvent $\{\mathcal{R}_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda(y, \mathcal{U}) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} P_t(y, \mathcal{U})\mathrm{d}t > 0, \quad \forall \lambda > 0$$

for all $y \in E$ and all neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}$ of x. Notice that the accessibility of $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ to any $x \in E$ is the so called *topological transitivity* in Wu [43].

The empirical measure of level-3 (or process level) is given by

$$R_t := \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \delta_{\theta_s X}\mathrm{d}s$$

where $(\theta_s X)_t = X_{s+t}$ for all $t, s \geq 0$ are the shifts on Ω. Thus, R_t is a random variable valued in $\mathcal{M}_1(\Omega)$, the space of all probability measures on Ω.

The level-3 entropy functional of Donsker-Varadhan $H : \mathcal{M}_1(\Omega) \to [0,+\infty]$ is defined by

$$H(Q) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}^{\bar{Q}} h_{\mathcal{F}_1^0}(\bar{Q}_{w(-\infty,0]}; \mathbb{P}_{w(0)}) & \text{if } Q \in \mathcal{M}_1^s(\Omega); \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

- $\mathcal{M}_1^s(\Omega)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_1(\Omega)$, whose elements are moreover stationary;
- $\bar{Q}$ is the unique stationary extension of $Q \in \mathcal{M}_1^s(\Omega)$ to $\bar{\Omega} := D(\mathbb{R}; E)$; $\mathcal{F}_t^s = \sigma\{X(u); s \leq u \leq t\}, \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}, s \leq t$;
- $\bar{Q}_{w(-\infty,t]}$ is the regular conditional distribution of $\bar{Q}$ knowing $\mathcal{F}_t^{-\infty}$;

- $h_{\mathcal{G}}(\nu;\mu)$ is the usual relative entropy or Kullback information of ν with respect to μ restricted to the σ-field $\mathcal{G}$, given by

$$h_{\mathcal{G}}(\nu;\mu) := \begin{cases} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}|_{\mathcal{G}} \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}|_{\mathcal{G}}\right) \mathrm{d}\mu & \text{if } \nu \ll \mu \text{ on } \mathcal{G}; \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The level-2 entropy functional $J : \mathcal{M}_1(E) \to [0,\infty]$ which governs the LDP in our main result is

$$J(\mu) = \inf\{H(Q)|Q \in \mathcal{M}_1^s(\Omega) \text{ and } Q_0 = \mu\}, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E), \tag{4.1}$$

where $Q_0(\cdot) = Q(X_0 \in \cdot)$ is the marginal law at $t = 0$.

Recall the following hyper-exponential recurrence criterion for LDP established by Wu [43, Theorem 2.1], also see Gourcy [15, Theorem 3.2].

For any measurable set $K \in E$, let

$$\tau_K = \inf\{t \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } X_t \in K\}, \quad \tau_K^{(1)} = \inf\{t \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } X_t \in K\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Theorem 4.1. [43] *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}_1(E)$ and assume that*

$$\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0} \text{ is strong Feller and topologically irreducible on } E.$$

If for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists some compact set $K \subset\subset E$, such that

$$\sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}^\nu e^{\lambda\tau_K} < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x\in K} \mathbb{E}^x e^{\lambda\tau_K^{(1)}} < \infty. \tag{4.3}$$

Then the family $\mathbb{P}^\nu(\mathcal{L}_t \in \cdot)$ satisfies the LDP on $\mathcal{M}_1(E)$ w.r.t. the τ-topology with the rate function J defined by (4.1), and uniformly for initial measures ν in the subset $\mathcal{A}$. More precisely, the following three properties hold:

(a1) *for any $a \geq 0$, $\{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E); J(\mu) \leq a\}$ is compact in $(\mathcal{M}_1(E), \tau)$;*

(a2) *(the lower bound) for any open set G in $(\mathcal{M}_1(E), \tau)$,*

$$\liminf_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \inf_{\nu\in\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}^\nu(\mathcal{L}_T \in G) \geq -\inf_G J;$$

(a3) *(the upper bound) for any closed set F in $(\mathcal{M}_1(E), \tau)$,*

$$\limsup_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}^\nu(\mathcal{L}_T \in F) \leq -\inf_F J.$$

5. The proof of main theorems

In this section, we prove the main theorems of this paper according to Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3. Let $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be the solution to Eq. (2.2). Since X is strong Feller and irreducible in H, according to Theorem 4.1, to prove Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we need to prove that the hyper-exponential recurrence condition 4.3 is fulfilled. The verification of this condition will be given in the following two subsections. □

5.1. **The proof of Theorem 2.2.**

Lemma 5.1. *There exist some constant C and $t_1 \in [1,2]$ such that for any $X_0 \in L^2(\Omega \to H; \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P})$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|X_{t_1}\|_V\right] \leq C.$$

Proof. **Step 1.** We first prove that *there exists a constant C such that for any initial value $X_0 \in L^2(\Omega \to H; \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P})$,*

$$\sup_{t\geq 1} \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_t\|_H^2\right] \leq C. \tag{5.1}$$

By Itô's formula, we have for any $t > s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_t\|_H^2 =& \|X_s\|_H^2 + \int_s^t \left(2_{V^*}\langle A(X_u), X_u\rangle_V + \|B(X_u)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)}^2\right) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ 2\int_s^t \langle X_u, B(X_u)\mathrm{d}W_u\rangle_H. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

It is easy to check from (2.3) that $\left\{\int_s^t \langle X_u, B(X_u)\mathrm{d}W_u\rangle_H : t \geq s\right\}$ is a martingale. Taking the expectation of the both sides of (5.2), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|X_t\|_H^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_s\|_H^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^t \left(2_{V^*}\langle A(X_u), X_u\rangle_V + \|B(X_u)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)}^2\right) \mathrm{d}s\right] \tag{5.3}$$

By Condition (H3) and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_t\|_H^2\right] \leq& \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_s\|_H^2\right] + c_1(t-s) - c_2\int_s^t \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_u\|_V^{r+1}\right] \mathrm{d}u + c_3\int_s^t \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_u\|_H^2\right] \mathrm{d}u \\ \leq& \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_s\|_H^2\right] + C_1(t-s) - C_2\int_s^t \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_u\|_H^{r+1}\right] \mathrm{d}u. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Taking $s = 0$ in the above inequality and according to Lemma 6.1 below, there exists a constant C independent of X_0 satisfying (5.1).

Step 2. By (5.3) and Condition (H3), we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|X_t\|_H^2\right] + c_2\int_s^t \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_u\|_V^{r+1}\right] \mathrm{d}u \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_s\|_H^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^t \left(c_1 + c_3\|X_u\|_H^2\right) \mathrm{d}u\right], \tag{5.5}$$

which, together with (5.1), further gives

$$\int_1^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_u\|_V^{r+1}\right] \mathrm{d}u \leq C,$$

where the constant C is independent of X_0. Therefore, we can conclude that there exists $t_1 \in [1,2]$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left[\|X_{t_1}\|_V\right] \leq C$.

The proof is complete. □

5.1.1. *The hyper-exponential recurrence.* Next, we will verify the hyper-exponential recurrence condition (4.3).

By the Markov property of X and Lemma 5.1, we know that there exists a sequence of times $\{t_n; n \geq 1\}$ such that $t_n \in [2n-1, 2n]$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|X_{t_n}\|_V\right] \leq C, \tag{5.6}$$

where C is the constant in Lemma 5.1.

For any $M > 0$, define the hitting time of $\{X_{t_n}\}_{n\geq 1}$:

$$\tau_M = \inf\{k \geq 1 : \|X_k\|_V \leq M\}. \tag{5.7}$$

Let

$$K := \{x \in V : \|x\|_V \leq M\}.$$

Clearly, K is compact in H. Recall the definitions of τ_K and $\tau_K^{(1)}$ in (4.2). It is obvious that

$$\tau_K \leq \tau_M, \qquad \tau_K^{(1)} \leq \tau_M. \tag{5.8}$$

This fact, together with the following important theorem, implies the hyper-exponential recurrence condition (4.3).

Theorem 5.2. *For any $\lambda > 0$, there exists a constant M such that*

$$\sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{M}_1(H)} \mathbb{E}^\nu[e^{\lambda\tau_M}] < \infty.$$

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$B_n := \left\{\|X_{t_j}\|_V > M : j = 1, \cdots, n\right\} = \{\tau_M > n\}.$$

By the Markov property of $\{X_{t_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, Chebychev's inequality and (5.6), we obtain that for any $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}^\nu(B_n) =& \mathbb{P}^\nu(B_{n-1}) \cdot \mathbb{P}^\nu(B_n|B_{n-1}) \\
\leq& \mathbb{P}^\nu(B_{n-1}) \cdot \mathbb{E}^\nu\left\{\frac{\mathbb{E}^{X_{t_{n-1}}}\left[\|X_{t_n}\|_V\right]}{M}\right\} \\
\leq& \mathbb{P}^\nu(B_{n-1}) \cdot \frac{C}{M},
\end{aligned}$$

where C is the constant in Lemma 5.5.

By the induction, we have for any $n \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}^\nu(\tau_M > n) = \mathbb{P}^\nu(B_n) \leq \left(\frac{C}{M}\right)^n.$$

This inequality, together with Fubini's theorem, implies that for any $\lambda > 0, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^\nu\left[e^{\lambda\tau_M}\right] &= \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{\lambda t}\mathbb{P}^\nu(\tau_M > t)\mathrm{d}t \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda e^{\lambda(n+1)}\mathbb{P}^\nu(\tau_M > n) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda e^{\lambda(n+1)}\left(\frac{C}{M}\right)^n,\end{aligned}$$

which is finite as $M > Ce^\lambda$.

The proof is complete. □

5.2. **The proof of Theorem 2.3.** The strategy to verify the hyper-exponential recurrence condition in this section is inspirited by Gourcy [14, 15].

First, we establish the following crucial exponential estimate for the solution.

Proposition 5.3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.3, for any fixed $0 < \lambda_0 < -c_3/(2C_B)$ and $x \in H$, the process X satisfies that for any $t > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}^x\left[\exp\left(\frac{\lambda_0 c_2}{2}\int_0^t \|X_s\|_V^r \mathrm{d}s\right)\right] \leq e^{\lambda_0 c_1 t}\cdot e^{\lambda_0\|x\|_H^2}. \tag{5.9}$$

Proof. Let

$$Y_t := \|X_t\|_H^2 + \frac{c_2}{2}\int_0^t \|X_s\|_V^r \mathrm{d}s.$$

By Itô's formula and (H3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}Y_t &= \left(2_{V^*}\langle A(X_t), X_t\rangle_V + \|B(X_t)\|_{L_2(U,H)}^2\right)\mathrm{d}t + 2\langle X_t, B(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_t\rangle_H + \frac{c_2}{2}\|X_t\|_V^r\mathrm{d}t \\ &\leq \left(c_1 - \frac{c_2}{2}\|X_t\|_V^r + c_3\|X_t\|_H^2\right)\mathrm{d}t + 2\langle X_t, B(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_t\rangle_H.\end{aligned}$$

In the same spirit, denoting by $\mathrm{d}[Y,Y]_t$ the quadratic variation process of a semimartingale Y, we can also compute with the Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}e^{\lambda_0 Y_t} =& e^{\lambda_0 Y_t}\left\{\lambda_0\mathrm{d}Y_t + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{2}\mathrm{d}[Y,Y]_t\right\} \\ \leq& \lambda_0 e^{\lambda_0 Y_t}\left(c_1 - \frac{c_2}{2}\|X_t\|_V^r + c_3\|X_t\|_H^2 + 2\lambda_0\|B(X_t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)}^2\cdot\|X_t\|_H^2\right)\mathrm{d}t \\ &+ 2\lambda_0 e^{\lambda_0 Y_t}\langle X_t, B(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_t\rangle_H.\end{aligned}$$

Let $Z_t := e^{-\lambda_0 c_1 t}e^{\lambda_0 Y_t}$. By Itô's formula again, we obtain that when $\lambda_0 < -c_3/(2C_B)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}Z_t =& e^{-\lambda_0 c_1 t}\mathrm{d}e^{\lambda_0 Y_t} + e^{\lambda_0 Y_t}\mathrm{d}e^{-\lambda_0 c_1 t} \\ \leq& \lambda_0 Z_t\left(-\frac{c_2}{2}\|X_t\|_V^r + c_3\|X_t\|_H^2 + 2\lambda_0 C_B\|X_t\|_H^2\right)\mathrm{d}t + 2\lambda_0 Z_t\langle X_t, B(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_t\rangle_H \\ \leq& 2\lambda_0 Z_t\langle X_t, B(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_t\rangle_H.\end{aligned}$$

Since $Z_t \geq 0$, we obtain by Fatou's lamma $\mathbb{E}^x[Z_t] \leq \mathbb{E}^x[Z_0]$, which is stronger than (5.9).

The proof is complete. □

For any measurable set $K \subset H$, recall the stopping times τ_K and $\tau_K^{(1)}$ defined by (4.2). Now, we will verify the hyper-exponential recurrence condition (4.3) in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.3, for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists some compact set $K \subset E$, such that*

$$\sup_{x\in K} \mathbb{E}^x \left[e^{\lambda \tau_K^{(1)}}\right] < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_0, L}} \mathbb{E}^\nu \left[e^{\lambda \tau_K}\right] < \infty. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. The proof is inspirited by Gourcy [14, 15]. Take

$$K := \{x \in V : \|x\|_V \le M\}, \tag{5.11}$$

where the constant M will be fixed later. Since the embedding $V \subset H$ is compact, K is a compact subset in H.

The definition of the occupation measure implies that

$$\mathbb{P}^\nu(\tau_K^{(1)} > n) \le \mathbb{P}^\nu\left(\mathcal{L}_n(K) \le \frac{1}{n}\right) = \mathbb{P}^\nu\left(\mathcal{L}_n(K^c) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

With our choice for K, we have $\|x\|_V \ge M 1_{K^c}(x)$. Hence, for any fixed $\lambda_0 \in (0, -\frac{c_3}{2B})$, we obtain by Chebychev's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}^\nu(\tau_K^{(1)} > n) \le& \mathbb{P}^\nu\left(\mathcal{L}_n(\|x\|_V^r) \ge M^r\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\\ \le& \exp\left(-\frac{n\lambda_0 c_2 M^r}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\mathbb{E}^\nu\left[\exp\left(\frac{\lambda_0 c_2}{2}\int_0^n \|X_s\|_V^r \mathrm{d}s\right)\right].\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (5.9) w.r.t. $\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$ and plugging it into the above estimate yields

$$\mathbb{P}^\nu(\tau_K^{(1)} > n) \le \nu(e^{\lambda_0 \|\cdot\|_H^2}) \exp\{-n\lambda_0 C\}, \quad \forall n \ge 2,$$

where $C := \frac{c_2}{4} M^r - c_1$.

Let $\lambda > 0$ be fixed. By the formula of the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^\nu\left[e^{\lambda\tau_K^{(1)}}\right] =& 1 + \int_0^{+\infty} \lambda e^{\lambda t} \mathbb{P}^\nu(\tau_K^{(1)} > t)\mathrm{d}t\\ \le& e^{2\lambda} + \sum_{n\ge 2} \lambda e^{\lambda(n+1)} \mathbb{P}^\nu(\tau_K^{(1)} > n)\\ \le& e^{2\lambda}\left(1 + \lambda \nu(e^{\lambda_0\|\cdot\|_H^2}) \sum_{n\ge 2} e^{-n(\lambda_0 C - \lambda)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now, we can choose M such that $\lambda_0 C - \lambda > 0$ in the definition (5.11) of K. Then, taking the supremum over $\{\nu = \delta_x, x \in K\}$, we get

$$\sup_{x\in K} \mathbb{E}^x\left[e^{\lambda\tau_K^{(1)}}\right] \le e^{2\lambda}\left(1 + \lambda e^{\frac{\lambda_0 M^2}{\eta^2}} \sum_{n\ge 2} e^{-n(\lambda_0 C - \lambda)}\right) < \infty,$$

where (2.1) is used. Thus, the first inequality in (5.10) holds true. We obtain the second inequality in (5.10) in the same way: since $\tau_K \leq \tau_K^{(1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_0,L}} \mathbb{E}^\nu\left[e^{\lambda\tau_K}\right] \leq & \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_0,L}} \mathbb{E}^\nu\left[e^{\lambda\tau_K^{(1)}}\right]\\ \leq & e^{2\lambda}\left(1+\lambda L\sum_{n\geq 2} e^{-n(\lambda_0 C-\lambda)}\right)\\ <&\infty.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

5.3. **The proof of Theorem 3.5.**

5.3.1. *Some estimates.* In this part, we will give some prior estimates, which are necessary for verifying the hyper-exponential recurrence condition (4.3).

Recall the following inequalities (see [44]):

$$\|A^\sigma e^{-At}\|_H \leq C_\sigma t^{-\sigma}, \qquad \forall\ \sigma>0 \quad \forall\ t>0; \tag{5.12}$$

$$\|x\|_{L^4}^4 \leq \|x\|_V^2\cdot\|x\|_H^2 \leq \|x\|_V^4, \qquad \forall\ x\in V; \tag{5.13}$$

$$\|x^3\|_H \leq C\|A^{\frac{1}{4}}x\|_H^2\cdot\|x\|_H \leq C\|x\|_V\|x\|_H^2, \qquad \forall\ x\in V. \tag{5.14}$$

Let Z_t be the following Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process:

$$\mathrm{d}Z_t + AZ_t\mathrm{d}t = \mathrm{d}L_t, \quad Z_0=0, \tag{5.15}$$

where $L_t=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_*}\beta_k l_k(t)e_k$ is the α-stable process defined in Eq. (3.7). It is well known that

$$Z_t = \int_0^t e^{-A(t-s)}\mathrm{d}L_s = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_*} z_k(t)e_k,$$

where

$$z_k(t) = \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_k(t-s)}\beta_k\mathrm{d}l_k(s).$$

The following maximal inequality can be found in [44, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 5.5. *For any $T>0, 0\leq\theta<\beta-\frac{1}{2\alpha}$ and any $0<p<\alpha$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|A^\theta Z_t\|_H^p\right] \leq CT^{p/\alpha},$$

where C depends on α,θ,β,p.

Let $Y_t := X_t - Z_t$. Then Y_t satisfies the following equation:

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t + AY_t\mathrm{d}t = N(Y_t+Z_t)\mathrm{d}t, \quad Y_0=x. \tag{5.16}$$

Lemma 5.6. *For all $T > 0$, we have*

$$\sup_{t\in[T/2,T]} \|Y_t\|_H \le C(T)\left(1 + \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|Z_t\|_V\right), \tag{5.17}$$

where the constant $C(T)$ does not depend on the initial value $Y_0 = x$.

Proof. By the chain rule, we obtain that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\|Y_t\|_H^2}{\mathrm{d}t} + 2\|Y_t\|_V^2 = 2\langle Y_t, N(Y_t + Z_t)\rangle. \tag{5.18}$$

Using the following Young inequalities: for any $y, z \in L^4(\mathbb{T};\mathbb{R})$ and $C_1 > 0$, there exists $C_2 > 0$ satisfying that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle y, z^3\rangle_H| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{T}} y(\xi)z^3(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\right| \le \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}} y^4(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi}{C_1} + C_2\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^4(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi,\\
|\langle y^2, z^2\rangle_H| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{T}} y^2(\xi)z^2(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\right| \le \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}} y^4(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi}{C_1} + C_2\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^4(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi,\\
|\langle y^3, z\rangle_H| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{T}} y^3(\xi)z(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi\right| \le \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}} y^4(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi}{C_1} + C_2\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^4(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

and using Hölder inequality and the elementary inequality $2\sqrt{a} \le a/b + b$ for all $a, b > 0$, we obtain that there exists a constant $C \ge 1$ satisfying that

$$2\langle Y_t, N(Y_t + Z_t)\rangle \le -\|Y_t\|_{L^4}^4 + C(1 + \|Z_t\|_{L^4}^4).$$

This inequality, together with Eq. (5.13), Eq. (5.18) and Hölder inequality, implies that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\|Y_t\|_H^2}{\mathrm{d}t} + 2\|Y_t\|_V^2 \le -\|Y_t\|_H^4 + C\left(1 + \|Z_t\|_V^4\right). \tag{5.19}$$

For any $t \ge 0$, denote

$$h(t) := \|Y_t\|_H^2, \quad K_T := \sup_{0\le t\le T}\sqrt{C(1 + \|Z_t\|_V^4)} \ge 1.$$

By Eq. (5.19), we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}h(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \le -h^2(t) + K_T^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

with the initial value $h(0) = \|x\|_H^2 \ge 0$.

By the comparison theorem (e.g., the deterministic case of [18, Chapter VI, Theorem 1.1]), we obtain that

$$h(t) \le g(t), \qquad \forall t \in [0, T], \tag{5.20}$$

where the function g solves the following equaiton

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}g(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -g^2(t) + K_T^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \tag{5.21}$$

with the initial value $g(0) = h(0)$. The solution of Eq. (5.21) is

$$g(t) = K_T + 2K_T\left(\frac{g(0) + K_T}{g(0) - K_T}e^{2K_T t} - 1\right)^{-1}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

where it is understood that $g(t) \equiv K_T$ when $g(0) = K_T$. It is easy to show that for any initial value $g(0)$, we have

$$g(t) \leq K_T \left(1 + 2(e^T - 1)^{-1}\right), \quad \forall t \in [T/2, T].$$

This inequlity, together with Eq. (5.20) and the definition of K_T, immediately implies the required estimate (5.17).

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 5.7. *For all $T > 0$, $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ and $p \in (0, \alpha/4)$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}^x \left[\|Y_T\|_{H_\delta}^p\right] \leq C_{T,\delta,p},$$

where the constant $C_{T,\delta,p}$ does not depend on the initial value $Y_0 = x$.

Proof. Since

$$Y_T = e^{-AT/2} Y_{T/2} + \int_{T/2}^T e^{-A(T-s)} N(Y_s + Z_s) \mathrm{d}s,$$

for any $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, by the inequalities (5.12)-(5.14) and Lemma 5.6, there exists a constant $C = C_{T,\delta}$ (whose value may be different from line to line by convention) satisfied that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Y_T\|_{H_\delta} \leq & C\|Y_{T/2}\|_H + C\int_{T/2}^T (T-s)^{-\delta} \|N(Y_s + Z_s)\|_H \mathrm{d}s \\
\leq & C\|Y_{T/2}\|_H + C\int_{T/2}^T (T-s)^{-\delta} (\|Y_s\|_H + \|Z_s\|_H + \|Y_s^3\|_H + \|Z_s^3\|_H) \mathrm{d}s \\
\leq & C\|Y_{T/2}\|_H + C\int_{T/2}^T (T-s)^{-\delta} (\|Y_s\|_H + \|Z_s\|_V + \|Y_s\|_V \|Y_s\|_H^2 + \|Z_s\|_V^3) \mathrm{d}s \\
\leq & C\left(1 + \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \|Z_t\|_V^3\right) + C\int_{T/2}^T (T-s)^{-\delta} \|Y_s\|_V \|Y_s\|_H^2 \mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate the last term in above inequality: by Eq. (5.19) and Lemma 5.6 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{T/2}^T (T-s)^{-\delta} \|Y_s\|_V \|Y_s\|_H^2 \mathrm{d}s \\
\leq & C\left(1 + \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \|Z_t\|_V^2\right) \int_{T/2}^T (T-s)^{-\delta} \|Y_s\|_V \mathrm{d}s \\
\leq & C\left(1 + \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \|Z_t\|_V^2\right) \left(\int_{T/2}^T (T-s)^{-2\delta} \mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{T/2}^T \|Y_s\|_V^2 \mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq & C\left(1 + \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \|Z_t\|_V^2\right) \left(\|Y_{T/2}\|_H^2 + \int_{T/2}^T (1 + \|Z_s\|_V^4) \mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq & C\left(1 + \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \|Z_t\|_V^4\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 5.5, we obtain that for any $p \in (0, \alpha/4)$,

$$\mathbb{E}^x \left[\|Y_T\|_{H_\delta}^p \right] \leq C_{T,\delta,p}.$$

The proof is complete. □

By Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.7, we obtain that

Lemma 5.8. *For all $T > 0$, $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ and $p \in (0, \alpha/4)$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}^x \left[\|X_T\|_{H_\delta}^p \right] \leq C_{T,\delta,p}$$

where the constant $C_{T,\delta,p}$ does not depend on the initial value $X_0 = x$.

5.3.2. *The hyper-exponential Recurrence.* In this part, we will verify the hyper-exponential recurrence condition (4.3).

For any $\delta \in (0, 1/2), M > 0$, define the hitting time of $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$:

$$\tau_M = \inf\{k \geq 1 : \|X_k\|_{H_\delta} \leq M\}. \tag{5.22}$$

Let

$$K := \{x \in H_\delta : \|x\|_{H_\delta} \leq M\}.$$

Clearly, K is compact in H. Recall the definitions of τ_K and $\tau_K^{(1)}$ in (4.2). It is obvious that

$$\tau_K \leq \tau_M, \qquad \tau_K^{(1)} \leq \tau_M. \tag{5.23}$$

This fact, together with the following important theorem, implies the hyper-exponential recurrence condition (4.3).

Theorem 5.9. *For any $\lambda > 0$, there exists $M = M_{\lambda,\delta}$ such that*

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(H)} \mathbb{E}^\nu [e^{\lambda \tau_M}] < \infty.$$

Proof. Since we have the uniform estimate in Lemma 5.8, the proof of this theorem is the same as that in Theorem 5.2. We omit the detail here. □

Acknowledgments: We would like to gratefully thank Armen Shirikyan for pointing out the key estimate (5.17) for us. R. Wang thanks the Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, for finance support and hospitality. He was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China 11301498, 11431014 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities WK0010000048. J. Xiong was supported by Macao Science and Technology Fund FDCT 076/2012/A3 and Multi-Year Research Grants of the University of Macau Nos. MYRG2014-00015-FST and MYRG2014-00034-FST. L. Xu is supported by the grants: MYRG2015-00021-FST and Science and Technology Development Fund, Macao S.A.R FDCT 049/2014/A1. All of the three authors are supported by the research project RDAO/RTO/0386-SF/2014.

6. Appendix

For any $p > 1$, consider the following equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}g(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -C_1 g^p(t) + C_2, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{6.1}$$

where $C_i > 0, i = 1, 2$, the initial value $g(0) \geq 0$. Obviously, g is monotone and $\lim_{t\to\infty} g(t) = (C_2/C_1)^{1/p}$. Furthermore, we have the following estimate uniformly over the initial value.

Lemma 6.1. *For any $p > 1$, there exists a constant $C(p, C_1)$ such that for any initial value $g(0) \geq 0$, we have*

$$\sup_{t\geq 1} |g(t)| \leq C(p, C_1)(1 + C_2). \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. We shall divide the proof in the following two cases.

(1) When $g(0) \in \left[0, (C_2/C_1)^{1/p}\right]$, g is increasing and its limit is $(C_2/C_1)^{1/p}$, which implies that $g(t) \leq (C_2/C_1)^{1/p}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

(2) When $g(0) > (C_2/C_1)^{1/p}$, g is decreasing in $[0, \infty)$. Let

$$\tau := \inf\left\{t : g(t) \leq (2C_2/C_1)^{1/p}\right\}.$$

(2a) If $\tau \leq 1$, there exists a constant $t_0 \leq 1$ such that $g(t_0) \leq (2C_2/C_1)^{1/p}$, by the monotone of g, we know that

$$g(t) \leq (2C_2/C_1)^{1/p} \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0.$$

(2b) If $\tau > 1$, $g(t) > (2C_2/C_1)^{1/p}$ for any $t \leq 1$, and

$$g'(t) \leq -C_1 g^p(t)/2 \quad \text{for all } t \leq 1.$$

By the comparison's theorem and the monotone of g, we obtain that for any $t \geq 1$,

$$g(t) \leq g(1) \leq \left[g^{-p+1}(0) + (p-1)C_1/2\right]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \left[(p-1)C_1/2\right]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Above all, there exists a constant $C(p, C_1)$ independent of $g(0)$ satisfying (6.2). The proof is complete. □

References

1. G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, B. Rozovskii, F.-Y. Wang, Strong solutions to stochastic generalized porous media equations: Existence, uniqueness and ergodicity, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **31** (2006) 277-291. MR 2209754
2. G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk, *Ergodicity for infinite-dimensional systems.* London Mathematical Society, Lecture Note Series 229 (1996), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. MR 2111320
3. A. Dembo, O. Zeitouni, *Large deviations techniques and applications,* Second edition, Applications of Mathematics, **38** (1998), Springer-Verlag. MR 2571413
4. Z. Dong, L. Xu, X. Zhang, Invariance measures of stochastic 2D Navier-Stokes equations driven by α-stable processes, *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **16** (2011) 678-688. MR 2853105
5. M.D. Donsker, S.R.S. Varadhan, Asmptotic evaluation of certain Markov process expectations for large time, I-IV, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **28**, 1-47 (1975); **28**, 279-301 (1975); **29**, 389-461 (1976); **36**, 183-212 (1983). MR 0386024, MR 0428471, MR 0690656
6. J. P. Eckmann, M. Hairer, Uniqueness of the invariant measure for a stochastic PDE driven by degenerate noise, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **219** (2001), no. 3, 523-565. MR 1838749

7. K.D. Elworthy, X.M. Li, Formulae for the derivatives of heat semigroups, *J. Funct. Anal.* 125 (1994), 252-286. MR 1297021
8. M.I. Freidlin, A.D. Wentzell, *Random perturbations of dynamical systems*, Translated from the 1979 Russian original by J. Szücs. Third edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 260 (2012), Springer, Heidelberg. MR 2953753
9. B. Gess, Random attractors for stochastic porous media equations perturbed by space-time linear multiplicative noise, *Ann. Probab.* **42** (2014), no. 2, 818-864. MR 3178475
10. B. Gess, W. Liu, M. Röckner, Random attractors for a class of stochastic partial differential equations driven by general additive noise, *J. Differential Equations*, **251(4-5)** (2011) 1225-1253. MR 2812588
11. B. Goldys, B. Maslowski, Uniform exponential ergodicity of stochastic dissipative systems, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **51** (2001), 745-762. MR 1864040
12. B. Goldys, B. Maslowski, *Exponential ergodicity for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations*, Stochastic partial differential equations and applications-VII, 115-131, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., 245, Chapman Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, (2006). MR 2227225
13. B. Goldys, B. Maslowski, Lower estimates of transition densities and bounds on exponential ergodicity for stochastic PDEs, *Ann. Probab.* **34** (4) (2006) 1451-1496. MR 2257652
14. M. Gourcy, Large deviation principle of occupation measure for stochastic burgers equaiton, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **43** (2007) 441-459. MR 2329511
15. M. Gourcy, A large deviation principle for 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equation, *Stochastic Proc. Appl.* **117** (2007) 904-927. MR 2330725
16. M. Hairer, Exponential mixing for a stochastic partial differential equation driven by degenerate noise, *Nonlinearity* **15** (2002) 271-279. MR 1888852
17. M. Hairer, An introduction to stochastic PDEs, `http://www.hairer.org/notes/SPDEs.pdf`, (2008)
18. N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam. (1981) MR 1011252
19. V. Jakšič, V. Nersesyan, C. Pillet, A. Shirikyan, Large deviaitons from a stationary measure for a class of dissipative PDE's with random kicks, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **68** (12) (2015) 2108-2143
20. V. Jakšič, V. Nersesyan, C. Pillet, A. Shirikyan, Large deviaitons and Gallavotti-Cohen principle for dissipative PDE's with rough noise, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **336** (1) (2015) 131-170. MR 3322369
21. N. Krylov, B. Rozovskii, *Stochastic evolution equations. Stochastic differential equations: theory and applications*, 1-69, Interdiscip. Math. Sci., 2, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2007. MR 0570795
22. G. Kallianpur and J. Xiong, Large deviation principle for a class of stochastic partial differential equations, *Ann. Probab.* **24** (1996), 320-345. MR 1387638
23. W. Liu, Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic evolution equations with monotone drifts, *J. Evol. Equ.* **9** (4) (2009) 747-770. MR 2563674
24. W. Liu, On the stochastic p-Laplace equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **360** (2) (2009) 737-751. MR 2561270
25. W. Liu, Ergodicity of transition semigroups for stochastic fast diffusion equations, Front. Math. China **6** (2011) 449-472. MR 2802962
26. W. Liu, F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and strong Feller property for stochastic fast-diffusion equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **342** (1) (2008) 651-662. MR 2440828
27. H. Masuda, Ergodicity and exponential β-mixing bounds for multidimensional diffusions with jumps, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **117** (2007) 35-56. MR 2287102
28. V. Nersesyan, Polynomial mixing for the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation perturbed by a random force at random times, *J. Evol. Equ.* **8** (2008) no. 1, 1-29.
29. S. Peszat, J. Zabczyk, Strong Feller property and irreducibility for diffusions on Hilbert spaces, *Ann. Probab.* **23** (1) (1995) 157-172. MR 1330765
30. S. Peszat, J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic partial differential equations with Lévy noise. An evolution equation approach*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **113** (2007), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. MR 2356959

31. C. Prévôt, M. Röckner, *A concise course on stochastic partial differential equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1905** (2007), Springer, Berlin. MR 2329435
32. E. Priola, A. Shirikyan, L. Xu, J. Zabczyk, Exponential ergodicity and regularity for equations with Lévy noise, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **122** (2012) 106-133. MR 2773026
33. E. Priola, L. Xu, J. Zabczyk, Exponential mixing for some SPDEs with Lévy noise, *Stoch. Dyn.* **11** (2011) 521-534. MR 2836539
34. J. Ren, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, Stochastic generalized porous media and fast diffusion equations, *J. Differential Equations* **238** (1) (2007) 118-152. MR 2334594
35. J. Ren, J. Wu, X. Zhang, Exponential ergodicity of non-Lipschitz multivalued stochastic differential equations, *Bul. Sci. Math.* **134** (4) (2010) 391-404. MR 2651898
36. A. Shirikyan, *Exponential mixing for randomly forced partial differential equations: method of coupling*, Instability in models connected with fluid flows. II, Int. Math. Ser. (N. Y.), vol. 7, Springer, New York, (2008)155-188.
37. F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic generalized porous media equations, *Ann. Probab.* **35** (4) (2007) 1333-1350. MR 2330974
38. F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequalities for stochastic partial differential equations. Springer Briefs in Mathematics, (2013), Springer, New York. MR 3099948
39. F.-Y. Wang, Exponential convergence of non-linear monotone SPDEs, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **35** (11) (2015) 5239-5253.
40. F.-Y. Wang, Asymptotic couplings by reflection and applications for nonlinear monotone SPDEs, *Nonlinear Anal.* **117** (2015) 169-188. MR 3316613
41. R. Wang, J. Xiong, L. Xu, Irreducibility of stochastic real Ginzburg-Landau equation driven by α-stable noises and applications, To appear in *Bernoulli*, arXiv:1510.01904.
42. L. Wu, Uniformly integrable operators and large deviations for Markov processes, *J. Funct. Anal.* **172** (2000) 301-376. MR 1753178
43. L. Wu, Large and moderate deviations and exponential convergence for stochastic damping Hamiltonian systems, *Stochastic Proc. Appl.* **91** (2001) 205-238. MR 1807683
44. L. Xu, Ergodicity of the stochastic real Ginzburg-Landau equation driven by α-stable noises. *Stochastic Proc. Appl.* **123** (2013) 3710-3736. MR 3084156
45. T. Xu and T. Zhang, Large deviation principles for 2-D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations driven by Lévy processes. *J. Funct. Anal.* **257** (2009) 1519-1545. MR 2541279
46. T. Xu, T. Zhang, White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: existence, uniqueness and large deviation principles, *Stochastic Proc. Appl.* **119** (2009) 3453-3470. MR 2568282
47. S.Q. Zhang, Strong Feller property and irreducibility for non-linear monotone SPDEs, arXiv:1407.7222v2. (2014)
48. X. Zhang, Exponential ergodicity of non-Lipschitz stochastic differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009) 329-337. MR 2439457

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China.
E-mail address: wangran@ustc.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Taipa, Macau.
E-mail address: jiexiong@umac.mo

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Taipa, Macau.
E-mail address: lihuxu@umac.mo